# Statistical and Learning Methods in Natural Language Processing

Ido Dagan dagan@macs.biu.ac.il

Shuly Wintner shuly@cs.haifa.ac.il

Spring 2004

## **Practicalities**

**Office hours:** Sunday 15:00-16:00, Jacobs 43. Phone: (828)8180.

**Times:** Sunday 10:00-14:00.

Place: Education Building 3502.

Prerequisites: Computational Linguistics.

**Textbook:** Nothing mandatory. Some of the material can be found in **Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing**, by Chris Manning and Hinrich Schuetze.

**Grading:** The final grade will be based on a final project (approximately 60%) and a short exam (approximately 40%).

# Organization according to application

- Morphology
  - Segmentation
  - Part of speech tagging, Morphological disambiguation
  - Lexical acquisition
- Syntax
  - parsing
  - shallow parsing
  - attachment
- Semantics
  - word-sense disambiguation
  - categorization

## **Segmentation**

Problem: Given a word w, find a sequence of morphemes  $m_1, \ldots, m_k$  such that  $w = m_1 \cdots m_k$ .

Example: im|possible, in|credible, ir|regular, ir|resistable, in|finite, in|dependent, ...

ink, imply, Iran,...

Example: resist|able, comfort|able, ed|ible, incred|ible, imposs|ible, ...

table, stable, ...

More complex cases: segmenting sentences to words in Asian languages.

## Part of speech tagging

Problem: Given a text where each word is associated with all its possible parts of speech, determine the most likely POS for the word with respect to its context.

## Example:

```
who PRON(int), PRON(rel)
can AUX, V(inf), N(sg)
it EXPLETIVE, PRON(3sg)
be V(inf)
? PUNC
```

## Part of speech tagging

Problem: Given a text where each word is associated with all its possible parts of speech, determine the most likely POS for the word with respect to its context.

## Example:

```
who PRON(int), PRON(rel)
can AUX, V(inf), N(sg)
it EXPLETIVE, PRON(3sg)
be V(inf)
? PUNC
```

## Morphological disambiguation

A generalization of Part-of-speech Tagging

Problem: Given a text where each word is associated with all its possible morphological analyses, determine the most likely analysis for the word with respect to its context.

#### Example:

BIWM XMI\$I HCLIXH \$W@RT BLBW\$ AZRXI LHIKNS LMS&DH K\$HIA LWB\$T XGWRT DMH \$DIMTH XGWRT NPC

#### **Analysis**

# Lexical acquisition

Problem: Given a morphological analyzer based on a partial lexicon, and a corpus of texts, expand the lexicon automatically.

# **Parsing**

Problem: Given a grammar and a sentence, generate all the structures that are induced by the grammar on the sentence.

Observation: not all structures are equally likely.

## **Shallow parsing**

Problem: Given a sentence, segment it into phrases such that no two phrases overlap.

Example (from http://pi0657.kub.nl/cgi-bin/tstchunk/demo.pl):

```
[NP Identifying NP] [NP the NP] [NP root NP]
{PNP [Prep of Prep] [NP a given word NP] PNP}
{PNP [Prep in Prep] [NP a NP] PNP}
[NP Semitic NP] [NP language NP]
[VP is VP] [NP an important task NP] ,/,
{PNP [Prep in Prep] [NP some cases NP] PNP}
[NP a NP] [NP crucial part NP]
{PNP [Prep of Prep] [NP morphological analysis NP] PNP}
```

## **Attachment**

Problem: Given an ambiguous syntactic structure, determine which of the candidate structures is most likely.

## Example:

The teacher [wrote [three equations] [on the board]]

The author [wrote [three novels [on the civil war]]]

# Word-sense disambiguation

Problem: Given a text in which each word is associated with several senses, determine the correct sense in the context of each of the words.

## Example:

The dictionary entry of brilliant

Some usage examples with various senses of brilliant

# Text categorization

Problem: Given a document and a (hierarchical) classification of "topics", determine which topics are addressed by the document.

Example: classify Internet pages to Yahoo!'s tree of topics.