עיבוד שפות טבעיות

שולי וינטנר

We begin with a simple problem: a lexicon of some natural language is given as a list of words. Suggest a data structure that will provide insertion and retrieval of data. As a first solution, we are looking for time efficiency rather than space efficiency.

We begin with a simple problem: a lexicon of some natural language is given as a list of words. Suggest a data structure that will provide insertion and retrieval of data. As a first solution, we are looking for time efficiency rather than space efficiency.

The solution: *trie* (word tree).

We begin with a simple problem: a lexicon of some natural language is given as a list of words. Suggest a data structure that will provide insertion and retrieval of data. As a first solution, we are looking for time efficiency rather than space efficiency.

The solution: *trie* (word tree).

Access time: O(|w|). Space requirement: $O(\sum_{w} |w|)$.

We begin with a simple problem: a lexicon of some natural language is given as a list of words. Suggest a data structure that will provide insertion and retrieval of data. As a first solution, we are looking for time efficiency rather than space efficiency.

The solution: *trie* (word tree).

Access time: O(|w|). Space requirement: $O(\sum_{w} |w|)$.

A trie can be augmented to store also a morphological dictionary specifying concatenative affixes, especially suffixes. In this case it is better to turn the tree into a graph.

We begin with a simple problem: a lexicon of some natural language is given as a list of words. Suggest a data structure that will provide insertion and retrieval of data. As a first solution, we are looking for time efficiency rather than space efficiency.

The solution: *trie* (word tree).

Access time: O(|w|). Space requirement: $O(\sum_{w} |w|)$.

A trie can be augmented to store also a morphological dictionary specifying concatenative affixes, especially suffixes. In this case it is better to turn the tree into a graph.

The obtained model is that of *finite-state automata*.

Finite-state technology

Finite-state automata are not only a good model for representing the lexicon, they are also perfectly adequate for representing dictionaries (lexicons+additional information), describing morphological processes that involve concatenation etc.

A natural extension of finite-state automata – finite-state transducers – is a perfect model for most processes known in morphology and phonology, including non-segmental ones.

Formal languages are defined with respect to a given *alphabet*, which is a finite set of symbols, each of which is called a *letter*.

A finite sequence of letters is called a *string*.

Formal languages are defined with respect to a given *alphabet*, which is a finite set of symbols, each of which is called a *letter*.

A finite sequence of letters is called a string.

Example: Strings Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ be an alphabet. Then all binary numbers are strings over Σ .

If $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d, \dots, y, z\}$ is an alphabet then *cat*, *incredulous* and *supercalifragilisticexpialidocious* are strings, as are *tac*, *qqq* and *kjshdflkwjehr*.

The *length* of a string w, denoted |w|, is the number of letters in w. The unique string of length 0 is called the *empty string* and is denoted ϵ .

The *length* of a string w, denoted |w|, is the number of letters in w. The unique string of length 0 is called the *empty string* and is denoted ϵ .

If $w_1 = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ and $w_2 = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$, the concatenation of w_1 and w_2 , denoted $w_1 \cdot w_2$, is the string $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$. $|w_1 \cdot w_2| = |w_1| + |w_2|$.

For every string w, $w \cdot \epsilon = \epsilon \cdot w = w$.

Example: Concatenation

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d, \dots, y, z\}$ be an alphabet. Then master mind = mastermind, mind master = mindmaster and master master = mastermaster. Similarly, learn $\cdot s =$ learns, learn $\cdot ed =$ learned and learn $\cdot ing =$ learning.

An exponent operator over strings is defined in the following way: for every string w, $w^0 = \epsilon$. Then, for n > 0, $w^n = w^{n-1} \cdot w$.

Example: Exponent If w = go, then $w^0 = \epsilon$, $w^1 = w = go$, $w^2 = w^1 \cdot w = w \cdot w = gogo$, $w^3 = gogogo$ and so on.

The *reversal* of a string w is denoted w^R and is obtained by writing w in the reverse order. Thus, if $w = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, $w^R = \langle x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1 \rangle$.

The *reversal* of a string w is denoted w^R and is obtained by writing w in the reverse order. Thus, if $w = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, $w^R = \langle x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1 \rangle$.

Given a string w, a substring of w is a sequence formed by taking contiguous symbols of w in the order in which they occur in w. If $w = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ then for any i, j such that $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, $\langle x_i, \ldots, x_j \rangle$ is a substring of w.

The *reversal* of a string w is denoted w^R and is obtained by writing w in the reverse order. Thus, if $w = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, $w^R = \langle x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1 \rangle$.

Given a string w, a substring of w is a sequence formed by taking contiguous symbols of w in the order in which they occur in w. If $w = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ then for any i, j such that $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, \langle x_i, \ldots, x_j \rangle$ is a substring of w.

Two special cases of substrings are *prefix* and *suffix*: if $w = w_l \cdot w_c \cdot w_r$ then w_l is a prefix of w and w_r is a suffix of w.

Example: Substrings

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d, \dots, y, z\}$ be an alphabet and w = indistinguishable a string over Σ . Then ϵ , in, indis, indistinguish and indistinguishable are prefixes of w, while ϵ , e, able, distinguishable and indistinguishable are suffixes of w. Substrings that are neither prefixes nor suffixes include distinguish, gui and is.

Given an alphabet $\Sigma,$ the set of all strings over Σ is denoted by $\Sigma^*.$

Given an alphabet Σ , the set of all strings over Σ is denoted by Σ^* .

A formal language over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^* .

Example: Languages

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, ..., y, z\}$. Then Σ^* is the set of all strings over the Latin alphabet. Any subset of this set is a language. In particular, the following are formal languages:



- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;
- the set of single-letter strings;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;
- the set of single-letter strings;
- the set {*i*, you, he, she, it, we, they};

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;
- the set of single-letter strings;
- the set {*i*, you, he, she, it, we, they};
- the set of words occurring in Joyce's Ulysses;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;
- the set of single-letter strings;
- the set {*i*, you, he, she, it, we, they};
- the set of words occurring in Joyce's Ulysses;
- the empty set;

- $\Sigma^*;$
- the set of strings consisting of consonants only;
- the set of strings consisting of vowels only;
- the set of strings each of which contains at least one vowel and at least one consonant;
- the set of palindromes;
- the set of strings whose length is less than 17 letters;
- the set of single-letter strings;
- the set {*i*, you, he, she, it, we, they};
- the set of words occurring in Joyce's Ulysses;
- the empty set;

Note that the first five languages are infinite while the last five are finite.

The string operations can be lifted to languages.

The string operations can be lifted to languages.

If L is a language then the *reversal* of L, denoted L^R , is the language $\{w \mid w^R \in L\}$.

The string operations can be lifted to languages.

If L is a language then the *reversal* of L, denoted L^R , is the language $\{w \mid w^R \in L\}$.

If L_1 and L_2 are languages, then $L_1 \cdot L_2 = \{ w_1 \cdot w_2 \mid w_1 \in L_1 \text{ and } w_2 \in L_2 \}.$

The string operations can be lifted to languages.

If L is a language then the *reversal* of L, denoted L^R , is the language $\{w \mid w^R \in L\}$.

If L_1 and L_2 are languages, then $L_1 \cdot L_2 = \{w_1 \cdot w_2 \mid w_1 \in L_1 \text{ and } w_2 \in L_2\}.$

Example: Language operations $L_1 = \{i, you, he, she, it, we, they\}, L_2 = \{smile, sleep\}.$ Then $L_1^R = \{i, uoy, eh, ehs, ti, ew, yeht\}$ and $L_1 \cdot L_2 = \{ismile, yousmile, hesmile, shesmile, itsmile, wesmile, theysmile, isleep, yousleep, hesleep, shesleep, itsleep, wesleep, theysleep}.$

If L is a language then $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$. Then, for i > 0, $L^i = L \cdot L^{i-1}$.

If L is a language then $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$. Then, for i > 0, $L^i = L \cdot L^{i-1}$.

Example: Language exponentiation

Let *L* be the set of words {*bau, haus, hof, frau*}. Then $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}, L^1 = L$ and $L^2 = \{baubau, bauhaus, bauhof, baufrau, hausbau, haushaus, haushof, hausfrau, hofbau, hofhaus, hofhof, hoffrau, fraubau, frauhaus, frauhof, fraufrau$ }.

The Kleene closure of L and is denoted L^* and is defined as $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$.

The Kleene closure of L and is denoted L^* and is defined as $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$. $L^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^i$.

The Kleene closure of L and is denoted L^* and is defined as $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$. $L^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^i$.

Example: Kleene closure Let $L = \{ dog, cat \}$. Observe that $L^0 = \{ \epsilon \}$, $L^1 = \{ dog, cat \}$, $L^2 = \{ catcat, catdog, dogcat, dogdog \}$, etc. Thus L^* contains, among its infinite set of strings, the strings ϵ , cat, dog, catcat, catdog, dogcat, dogdog, catcatcat, catdogcat, dogcatcat, dogdogcat, etc.

The Kleene closure of L and is denoted L^* and is defined as $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^i$. $L^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^i$.

Example: Kleene closure Let $L = \{ dog, cat \}$. Observe that $L^0 = \{ \epsilon \}$, $L^1 = \{ dog, cat \}$, $L^2 = \{ catcat, catdog, dogcat, dogdog \}$, etc. Thus L^* contains, among its infinite set of strings, the strings ϵ , cat, dog, catcat, catdog, dogcat, dogdog, catcatcat, catdogcat, dogcatcat, dogdogcat, etc.

The notation for Σ^* should now become clear: it is simply a special case of L^* , where $L = \Sigma$.

Regular expressions are a formalism for defining (formal) languages. Their "syntax" is formally defined and is relatively simple. Their "semantics" is sets of strings: the denotation of a regular expression is a set of strings in some formal language.

Regular expressions are defined recursively as follows:

• \emptyset is a regular expression

- \emptyset is a regular expression
- ϵ is a regular expression

- \emptyset is a regular expression
- ϵ is a regular expression
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then a is a regular expression

- \emptyset is a regular expression
- ϵ is a regular expression
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then a is a regular expression
- if r_1 and r_2 are regular expressions then so are (r_1+r_2) and $(r_1\cdot r_2)$

- \emptyset is a regular expression
- ϵ is a regular expression
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then a is a regular expression
- if r_1 and r_2 are regular expressions then so are (r_1+r_2) and $(r_1\cdot r_2)$
- if r is a regular expression then so is $(r)^{\ast}$

- \emptyset is a regular expression
- ϵ is a regular expression
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then a is a regular expression
- if r_1 and r_2 are regular expressions then so are (r_1+r_2) and $(r_1\cdot r_2)$
- if r is a regular expression then so is $(r)^*$
- nothing else is a regular expression over Σ .

Example: Regular expressions Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, ..., y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

Example: Regular expressions Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, ..., y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

• Ø

Example: Regular expressions Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, ..., y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

- Ø
- a

Example: Regular expressions Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, ..., y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

- Ø
- $\bullet a$
- $((c \cdot a) \cdot t)$

Example: Regular expressions

Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \ldots, y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

- Ø
- a
- $((c \cdot a) \cdot t)$
- $(((m \cdot e) \cdot (o)^*) \cdot w)$

Example: Regular expressions

Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \ldots, y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

- Ø
- a
- $((c \cdot a) \cdot t)$
- $(((m \cdot e) \cdot (o)^*) \cdot w)$
- (a + (e + (i + (o + u))))

Example: Regular expressions

Let Σ be the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \ldots, y, z\}$. Some regular expressions over this alphabet are:

- Ø
- a
- $((c \cdot a) \cdot t)$
- $\overline{(((m \cdot e) \cdot (o)^*) \cdot w)}$
- (a + (e + (i + (o + u))))
- ((a + (e + (i + (o + u)))))*

For every regular expression r its denotation, $[\![r]\!]$, is a set of strings defined as follows:

For every regular expression r its denotation, $[\![r]\!]$, is a set of strings defined as follows:

For every regular expression r its denotation, [[r]], is a set of strings defined as follows:

- $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \emptyset$
- $\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{\epsilon\}$

For every regular expression r its denotation, $[\![r]\!]$, is a set of strings defined as follows:

- $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \emptyset$
- $\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{\epsilon\}$
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then $\llbracket a \rrbracket = \{a\}$

For every regular expression r its denotation, $[\![r]\!]$, is a set of strings defined as follows:

- $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \emptyset$
- $\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{\epsilon\}$
- if $a \in \Sigma$ is a letter then $\llbracket a \rrbracket = \{a\}$
- if r_1 and r_2 are regular expressions whose denotations are $[\![r_1]\!]$ and $[\![r_2]\!]$, respectively, then $[\![(r_1 + r_2)]\!] = [\![r_1]\!] \cup [\![r_2]\!]$, $[\![(r_1 \cdot r_2)]\!] = [\![r_1]\!] \cdot [\![r_2]\!]$ and $[\![(r_1)^*]\!] = [\![r_1]\!]^*$

Example: Regular expressions and their denotations

 \emptyset

 \boldsymbol{U}

Regular expressions

$$\emptyset \qquad \emptyset$$

Example: Regular expressions and their denotations

Example: Regular expressions and their denotations

Example: Regular expressions and their denotations

$$\begin{split} \emptyset & \emptyset \\ a & \{a\} \\ ((c \cdot a) \cdot t) & \{c \cdot a \cdot t\} \\ (((m \cdot e) \cdot (o)^*) \cdot w) \\ \{ \textit{mew, meow, meoow, meooow, meooow, } \dots \} \\ (a + (e + (i + (o + u)))) & \{a, e, i, o, u\} \\ ((a + (e + (i + (o + u)))))^* \end{split}$$

Example: Regular expressions and their denotations

Example: Regular expressions Given the alphabet of all English letters, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, y, z\}$, the language Σ^* is denoted by the regular expression Σ^* .

Example: Regular expressions Given the alphabet of all English letters, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, y, z\}$, the language Σ^* is denoted by the regular expression Σ^* .

The set of all strings which contain a vowel is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (a + e + i + o + u) \cdot \Sigma^*$.

Example: Regular expressions Given the alphabet of all English letters, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, y, z\}$, the language Σ^* is denoted by the regular expression Σ^* .

The set of all strings which contain a vowel is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (a + e + i + o + u) \cdot \Sigma^*$.

The set of all strings that begin in "*un*" is denoted by $(un)\Sigma^*$.

Example: Regular expressions Given the alphabet of all English letters, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, y, z\}$, the language Σ^* is denoted by the regular expression Σ^* .

The set of all strings which contain a vowel is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (a + e + i + o + u) \cdot \Sigma^*$.

The set of all strings that begin in "un" is denoted by $(un)\Sigma^*$.

The set of strings that end in either "tion" or "sion" is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (s+t) \cdot (ion)$.

Example: Regular expressions Given the alphabet of all English letters, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, y, z\}$, the language Σ^* is denoted by the regular expression Σ^* .

The set of all strings which contain a vowel is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (a + e + i + o + u) \cdot \Sigma^*$.

The set of all strings that begin in "un" is denoted by $(un)\Sigma^*$.

The set of strings that end in either "tion" or "sion" is denoted by $\Sigma^* \cdot (s+t) \cdot (ion)$.

Note that all these languages are infinite.

Properties of regular languages

Properties of regular languages

Closure properties:

A class of languages \mathcal{L} is said to be closed under some operation '•' if and only if whenever two languages L_1 , L_2 are in the class $(L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L})$, also the result of performing the operation on the two languages is in this class: $L_1 \bullet L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$.

Properties of regular languages

Regular languages are closed under:

- Union
- Intersection
- Complementation
- Difference
- Concatenation
- Kleene-star
- Substitution and homomorphism