

# Foundation of Cryptography, Introduction

## Adminstration + Introduction

Benny Applebaum & Iftach Haitner, Tel Aviv University  
(Slightly edited by Ronen Shaltiel, all errors are by Ronen Shaltiel)

University of Haifa.

2018

# Part I

## Administration and Course Overview

# Section 1

## **Administration**

## Important Details

1. There will be a final exam.

## Important Details

1. There will be a final exam.
2. Course website: Can be reached from Ronen's homepage.

## Course Prerequisites

1. Computational Models
2. Probability theory.

# Course Material

## 1. Books:

1.1 Oded Goldreich. *Foundations of Cryptography*.

1.2 Jonathan Katz and Yehuda Lindell. *An Introduction to Modern Cryptography*.

## 2. Lecture notes

2.1 Ran Canetti [www.cs.tau.ac.il/~canetti/f08.html](http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~canetti/f08.html)

2.2 Yehuda Lindell

[u.cs.biu.ac.il/~lindell/89-856/main-89-856.html](http://u.cs.biu.ac.il/~lindell/89-856/main-89-856.html)

2.3 Luca Trevisan [www.cs.berkeley.edu/~daw/cs276/](http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~daw/cs276/)

2.4 Salil Vadhan [people.seas.harvard.edu/~salil/cs120/](http://people.seas.harvard.edu/~salil/cs120/)

2.5 Benny Applebaum and Iftach Haitner <http://moodle.tau.ac.il/2016/course/view.php?id=368416201>

## Section 2

# Course Topics



## Course Topics

Basic primitives in cryptography (i.e., one-way functions, pseudorandom generators and zero-knowledge proofs).

- ▶ Focus on *formal* definitions and *rigorous* proofs.
- ▶ The goal is not studying some list, but to understand cryptography.
- ▶ Start with “what is security?”
- ▶ Only then do we ask how to achieve it.
- ▶ Start from the bottom and work our way up.

## Part II

# Foundation of Cryptography

## Some stories and motivation

- ▶ Encryption (symmetric and public-key).
- ▶ Coin tossing over the phone (impossible information theoretically, but possible against poly-time adversaries).

## Section 3

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

## 1. What is Cryptography?

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?  
 $\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:



# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?
  - $\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:
    - 3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?
  - $\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:
    - 3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$
    - 3.2 for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\exists w \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = 1$

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?

$\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:

3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

3.2 for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\exists w \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = 1$

$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ : i.e.,  $\exists L \in \mathcal{NP}$ , such that for any polynomial-time algorithm  $A$ ,  $\exists x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $A(x) \neq 1_L(x)$

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?

$\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:

3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

3.2 for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\exists w \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = 1$

$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ : i.e.,  $\exists L \in \mathcal{NP}$ , such that for any polynomial-time algorithm  $A$ ,  $\exists x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $A(x) \neq 1_L(x)$

**polynomial-time algorithms:** an algorithm  $A$  runs in polynomial-time, if  $\exists p \in \text{poly}$  such that the running time of  $A(x)$  is bounded by  $p(|x|)$  for any  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?

$\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:

3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

3.2 for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\exists w \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = 1$

$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ : i.e.,  $\exists L \in \mathcal{NP}$ , such that for any polynomial-time algorithm  $A$ ,  $\exists x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $A(x) \neq 1_L(x)$

**polynomial-time algorithms:** an algorithm  $A$  runs in polynomial-time, if  $\exists p \in \text{poly}$  such that the running time of  $A(x)$  is bounded by  $p(|x|)$  for any  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$

4. Problems: hard on the average. No known solution

# Cryptography and Computational Hardness

1. What is Cryptography?
2. Hardness assumptions, why do we need them?
3. Does  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$  suffice?

$\mathcal{NP}$ : all (languages)  $L \subset \{0, 1\}^*$  for which there exists a polynomial-time algorithm  $V$  and (a polynomial)  $p \in \text{poly}$  such that the following hold:

3.1  $V(x, w) = 0$  for any  $x \notin L$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$

3.2 for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\exists w \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|w| \leq p(|x|)$  and  $V(x, w) = 1$

$\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ : i.e.,  $\exists L \in \mathcal{NP}$ , such that for any polynomial-time algorithm  $A$ ,  $\exists x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $A(x) \neq 1_L(x)$

**polynomial-time algorithms:** an algorithm  $A$  runs in polynomial-time, if  $\exists p \in \text{poly}$  such that the running time of  $A(x)$  is bounded by  $p(|x|)$  for any  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$

4. Problems: hard on the average. No known solution
5. One-way functions: an efficiently computable function that no efficient algorithm can invert.

# Part III

## Notation

## Notation I

- ▶ For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $[t] := \{1, \dots, t\}$ .
- ▶ Given a string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $0 \leq i < j \leq |x|$ , let  $x_{i, \dots, j}$  stands for the substring induced by taking the  $i, \dots, j$  bit of  $x$  (i.e.,  $x[i] \dots, x[j]$ ).
- ▶ Given a function  $f$  defined over a set  $\mathcal{U}$ , and a set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , let  $f(\mathcal{S}) := \{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ , and for  $y \in f(\mathcal{U})$  let  $f^{-1}(y) := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : f(x) = y\}$ .
- ▶ **poly** stands for the set of all polynomials.
- ▶ The worst-case running-time of a *polynomial-time algorithm* on input  $x$ , is bounded by  $p(|x|)$  for some  $p \in \text{poly}$ .
- ▶ A function is *polynomial-time computable*, if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm to compute it.
- ▶ PPT stands for probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms.
- ▶ A function  $\mu: \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0, 1]$  is negligible, denoted  $\mu(n) = \text{neg}(n)$ , if for any  $p \in \text{poly}$  there exists  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\mu(n) \leq 1/p(n)$  for any  $n > n'$ .



## Distribution and random variables I

- ▶ The support of a distribution  $P$  over a finite set  $\mathcal{U}$ , denoted  $\text{Supp}(P)$ , is defined as  $\{u \in \mathcal{U} : P(u) > 0\}$ .
- ▶ Given a distribution  $P$  and an event  $E$  with  $\Pr_P[E] > 0$ , we let  $(P \mid E)$  denote the conditional distribution  $P$  given  $E$  (i.e.,  $(P \mid E)(x) = \frac{P(x) \wedge E}{\Pr_P[E]}$ ).
- ▶ For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $U_t$  denote a random variable uniformly distributed over  $\{0, 1\}^t$ .
- ▶ Given a random variable  $X$ , we let  $x \leftarrow X$  denote that  $x$  is distributed according to  $X$  (e.g.,  $\Pr_{x \leftarrow X}[x = 7]$ ).
- ▶ Given a finite set  $S$ , we let  $x \leftarrow S$  denote that  $x$  is uniformly distributed in  $S$ .
- ▶ We use the convention that when a random variable appears twice in the same expression, it refers to a *single* instance of this random variable. For instance,  $\Pr[X = X] = 1$  (regardless of the definition of  $X$ ).

## Distribution and random variables II

- ▶ Given distribution  $P$  over  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $P^t$  over  $\mathcal{U}^t$  be defined by  $D^t(x_1, \dots, x_t) = \prod_{i \in [t]} D(x_i)$ .
- ▶ Similarly, given a random variable  $X$ , we let  $X^t$  denote the random variable induced by  $t$  independent samples from  $X$ .